

1615 H Street, NW Washington, DC 20062-2000 www.uschamber.com

December 10, 2012

The Honorable Ron Kirk
Office of the U.S. Trade Representative
600 17th Street NW
Washington, DC 20508

Dear Mr. Ambassador:

The U.S. Chamber of Commerce ("Chamber") is the world's largest business federation representing the interests of more than three million companies of every size, sector, and region.

The Chamber respectfully requests that the Office of the U.S. Trade Representative ("USTR") review the proposed rule, *Prohibitions and Restrictions on Proprietary Trading and Certain Interests in and Relationships With, Hedge Funds and Private Equity Funds* ("the Volcker Rule") issued by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve ("Federal Reserve"), Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC"), Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"), Office of the Comptroller of the Currency ("OCC") and the Commodities and Futures Trading Commission ("CFTC") (also collectively "the regulators") before it is finalized. The purpose of such a USTR review would be to determine if the Volcker Rule undermines U.S. trade policy and whether the Volcker Rule, as drafted, violates our World Trade Organization and free trade agreement commitments.

On January 21, 2010, President Barack Obama proposed a ban on proprietary trading by financial institutions and named it after former Federal Reserve Chairman Paul Volcker, its chief architect. The Obama Administration requested that other prominent nations follow suit, but they universally declined. Prohibitions on proprietary trading and relationships with hedge funds and private equity funds were eventually made part of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act ("Dodd-Frank Act") through the passage of an amendment by Senators Merkley and Levin.

 $^{^1}$ See E.U. Ministers to Resist Obama's Proposal for Banking Overhaul, Bloomberg News, Feb. 16, 2010.

The Honorable Ron Kirk December 10, 2012 Page 2

International rejection of the Volcker Rule continued after the passage of the Dodd-Frank Act and in the two and a half years since the passage of the Dodd-Frank Act, no other nation has enacted legislation or regulations analogous to the Volcker Rule. Yet the regulatory efforts continue here at home and, if they reach fruition the U.S. financial services sector will not be able to engage in certain types of activities, adversely impacting capital formation activities by U.S. businesses and putting them at a competitive disadvantage in a global economy.²

In addition, many nations including Canada, Japan, the United Kingdom, and Singapore have objected to the Volcker Rule, citing adverse consequences to *their* ability to issue sovereign debt.³ The Volcker Rule is discriminatory, as foreign sovereign debt is subject to the regulation, while U.S. Treasury debt instruments are exempt. This creates a discord in G20 and invites foreign governments to retaliate at a time when we need those same regulators in foreign countries to support initiatives to liberalize trade in financial services. Further, USTR should conduct a very close examination to ensure the Volker Rule does not violate any of our trade obligations. Ultimately it may not, but the Volcker Rule's discriminatory provision certainly does, at a minimum, send the wrong message internationally and gravely complicates the long-standing U.S. goal of liberalizing trade in financial services in addition to creating a potential problem for U.S. sovereign debt if foreign governments decide to retaliate.

The Chamber believes it is important that USTR evaluate the Volker Rule in the context of our trade commitments and be an active voice in the inter-agency process so that regulators understand the costs to the American economy and potential retaliatory actions the United States faces if other nations treat the Volcker Rule as a trade violation or choose to adopt similar restrictions on U.S. sovereign debt.

² Representative Peter King has also introduced H.R. 6524, the "U.S. Financial Services Global Viability Act" which would stay the enforcement of the Volcker Rule pending a certification that other nations are also abiding by a similar regulatory framework.

³ See Japan and Canada Warn on Volcker Rule Impact, Financial Times, January 11, 2012; Canada Regulator, Banks Take Aim at U.S. Volcker Rule, Reuters, January 6, 2012; U.K.'s Osborne Lodges 'Volcker Rule' Complaint, The Wall Street Journal, February 1, 2012;

The Honorable Ron Kirk December 10, 2012 Page 3

We believe that such a USTR review is an important step to prevent potential harm to the economy and America's standing in the worldwide economy. The findings of the USTR review can better inform regulators of the potential negative consequences of the Volcker Rule as they contemplate the drafting of a final rule.

Thank you for your consideration of this request, and we are prepared to discuss these issues further at your convenience.

Sincerely,

David Hirschmann President and CEO

Center for Capital Markets Competitiveness

Sorry Hascours

U.S. Chamber of Commerce

Myron Brilliant Senior Vice President International Affairs

Thyson billing

U.S. Chamber of Commerce